

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,968	05/16/2001	Andreas Kraetzschmar	521.1001	3586
23280	7590 12/19/2003		EXAM	INER
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			DEMAKIS, JAMES A	
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018		OUR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/831,968	KRAETZSCHMAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	James A Demakis	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repp.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment of 10/31/2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 7 and 10-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen  application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language priority Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second control of of the second contr	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  It of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) rest sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) eatent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/831,968

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7,10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA Nikolaeva et al (SUPN 1094088) and in view of APA Nikolaeva et al (SUPN 1076981).

  Regarding Claims 7,10-11:

Nikolaeva et al '088 discloses a current limiting device with all the elements that are claimed except that all compression spaces or cavities 7 are not interconnected by openings or channels 4.

Nikolaeva et al '981discloses a current limiting device with all cavities 6 interconnected via channels, displaced angularly relative to one another, in the compression plates 4,5.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the '088 device with the teachings of the '981 device to improve the limiting characteristics.

Please note the Examiner defines the term 'substantially' as used with the phrase: 'in a position deviating from a horizontal longitudinal axis' to be more than 1 degree. The '088 reference includes inclinations of up to and including 50 degrees from horizontal.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,968 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by APA Hammaond et al

(DEPN 2652506).

Regarding Claim 12:

Hammaond et al disclose a current limiting device which uses liquid metals of the

gallium alloys, in particular those of gallium-indium-tin alloys (GaInSn).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. See below.

7. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references,

the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the

teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching,

Application/Control Number: 09/831,968

Art Unit: 2836

suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Nikolaeva et al '981 clearly shows "hollow spaces" in Figure 1, and Nikolaeva et al '088 also shows in Figures 1 and 2 and discloses additional empty volume in Col.1, lines 40-50. Additionally, it is well known in the art for these devices to function as designed, they must have extra volume available for volumetric expansion of the heated liquid. Also, the "hollow space" that the Applicant has specified permits the use in positions "substantially" deviating from horizontal is clearly disclosed in Nikolaeva et al '088 as part of Figures 1 and 2 and allows performance of the device at inclines from horizontal to 50 degrees, see Col. 3, lines 1-15. The Examiner, as stated in the Office Action of 9/10/2003, defines "substantially" as 1 degree or more.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A Demakis whose telephone number is 703.305.7938. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703.308.3119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0956.

James A. Demakis

Monday, December 15, 2003

BAIAN STACUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800